

**FILED**

**JUL 21 2006**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

PEDRO CASTILLO-HIDALGO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-50025

D.C. No. CR-05-00894-DSF-1

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Dale S. Fischer, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 17, 2006\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

We have reviewed the record and the opening brief. We conclude that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2)*.

06-50025

curiam) (stating standard). The United States Supreme Court's decision in *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224, 247 (1998), remains binding. Further, we upheld the identical condition of supervised release challenged here in *United States v. Rodriguez-Rodriguez*, 441 F.3d 767, 772-73 (9th Cir. 2006).

Accordingly, the government's motion for summary affirmance of the district court's judgment is granted.

**AFFIRMED.**